Case 1:18-cv-00719-CCR Document 67-4 Filed 11/06/20 Page 1 of 2 275 Seventh Avenue Suite 1506, New York, NY 10001-6860

ph: 212-633-6967 fax: 212-633-6371 www.nclej.org

Via Electronic Mail

October 1, 2020

Robert E. Quinn Corporation Counsel 65 Niagara Square 1103 City Hall Buffalo, NY 14202 rquinn@ch.ci.buffalo.ny.us

Re: Black Love Resists et al v. City of Buffalo, 1:18-cv-00719-CCR

Dear Rob,

NCLEJ, along with the Center for Constitutional Rights and the Western New York Law Center, write concerning the number of depositions in the above captioned case.

As you know, this is a class action involving serious allegations of systematic discrimination and other unconstitutional policies and practices over a more than eight year period (many of which continue to this day). The Complaint asserts four causes of actions on behalf of 10 named Plaintiffs and multiple classes and subclasses. Plaintiffs' allegations implicate 10 identified defendants, as many as 30 unidentified defendants, countless other individual police officers, officials, and administrators from multiple departments and offices within the City of Buffalo, as well as several third parties. In sum, this case is complex, the issues at stake are vitally important to the public interest, and there are numerous witnesses with unique knowledge of relevant information. On top of all this, Defendants' woefully inadequate document productions thus far (as described further in our September 25 letter) have impeded Plaintiffs' ability to obtain relevant discovery by means other than deposition.

As a result, Plaintiffs request the ability to take up to 70 depositions in this action. We believe that at least 70 depositions are necessary considering the needs and complexity of this action. Indeed, this number is lower than the number of depositions taken in similar cases. *See*, *e.g.*, *Floyd v. City of New York*, 08 Civ. 103 (S.D.N.Y.) (plaintiffs took 78 depositions).

In addition to individual depositions, Plaintiffs also intend to serve a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice on the City concerning a range of issues, including (but not limited to) topics relating to the use of checkpoints, the Strike Force, the Housing Unit, the BTVA, and the BPD Internal Affairs Division. We expect that this 30(b)(6) deposition will require questioning multiple designated representatives over the course of multiple days. We propose scheduling a meet and confer to discuss a protocol for this deposition after Plaintiffs serve formal notice.

Please let us know as soon as possible if you consent to Plaintiffs' request to take up to 70 depositions. Alternatively, please let us know your availability to meet and confer so that we can raise this issue with the Court.

Sincerely,

Claudia Wilner

Director of Litigation and Advocacy

Clandrollel